

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,766 01/02/2002		Jeffery Tabor	659/919	6310
7590 10/06/2004 Robert N. Carpenter BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			EXAMINER	
			PURVIS, SUE A	
P.O. BOX 1039	5	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO; IL	60610		1734	
			DATE MAN ED: 10/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/038,	766	TABOR ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Sue A. F	Purvis	1734			
Period for	• •			correspondence address/			
I HE M/ - Extension - If the pector of the p	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated priod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days the formula of the second for reply will, by or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the provided by the Office later than three months after the protection of the priod for reply will, by the content of the protection of th	TION. SCEN 1.136(a). In no ention. In a reply within the standard will apply and by statute, cause the arms.	event, however, may a reply be ting atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status			•				
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>14 July</u> 2004.		·			
ž .							
3)□ S	P						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition							
	laim(s) <u>1-4,6-9 and 11-23</u> is/are pendin	n in the annlica	tion				
) Of the above claim(s) is/are with						
	laim(s) <u>3 and 17-20</u> is/are allowed.	andrawn morn o	orisideration.				
_	laim(s) <u>1-4,6-9,11-16,21-23</u> is/are reject	ted					
	aim(s) is/are objected to.	icu.					
	aim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or alaction	roquiroment				
		and/or election	requirement.	•			
Application	•	• 4					
	e specification is objected to by the Exa						
10)[_] Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[] accepted or b	\prod objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Ap	pplicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Re	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is requi	red if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	e oath or declaration is objected to by t						
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119	ž					
12) <u></u> Ac a) . 1.			• 1	-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
,	application from the International B			d in this National Stage			
* See	the attached detailed Office action for a			d			
			med copies not receive				
Attachment(s)			_				
	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (
	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No	(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	mont Application (ΕΤΟ-102)			
.S. Patent and Traden PTOL-326 (Rev.		ice Action Summa	rv Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 20041001			

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant has amended the claim to state that the recessed portion in the web conveyor includes "a bottom surface," however there is no indication in the specification or the drawings that the conveyor's recessed does have a bottom portion as required by the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 9, 11-14, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blomqvist et al. (US Patent No. 3,847,710).

Application/Control Number: 10/038,766

Art Unit: 1734

Blomqvist discloses an apparatus with a carrier body having a discrete part engaging outer surface, the outer surface including a generally convex surface and a generally convex recessed portion (3) spaced inwardly. The surface is adapted and configured to engage a discrete part having a thickness. The recessed portion having a bottom surface adapted and configured to engage the discrete part and further comprising at least one aperture (4) in the outer surface and extending through the carrier body for communication with a vacuum source.

Regarding claim 2, recessed portions are located centrally in Blomqvist.

Regarding claim 4, the recessed portion holds the absorbent products (49) which result in the diapers (58) and as can be seen by Figures 1, 5, and 7, these have a generally rectangular shape.

Regarding claim 6, Blomqvist includes a support (2) for supporting the carrier body.

Regarding claim 9, Blomqvist includes a conveyor (18) which is capable of transporting a substrate web.

Regarding claims 11-14, the web conveyor (18) is spaced from the transfer assembly a distance less than the combined total thickness of the substrate web and the discrete part. (See Figure 1.)

Regarding claim 21, the carrier body is rotatable about an axis normal to the convex surface.

Regarding claims 22, Blomqvist includes an aperture (4) opening in the bottom surface of the recessed portion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1734

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blomqvist as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Booth et al. (US Patent No. 5,579,340).

Blomqvist does not discuss a surface roughness for the outer surface or a plasma coating.

Booth discloses using a transfer segment with an outer surface roughness of at least 3 micrometers. As a result, a relatively low level of vacuum may be drawn through holes in the outer surface (46) to assist the surface roughness in maintaining the elongated elastic parts (26) in the elongated state. To achieve the surface roughness, the outer surface (46) of each transfer segment may include a coating such as a plasma coating as are known to those skilled in the art. (Col. 6, lines 23-53.)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the surface in Blomqvist include a surface roughness as taught by Booth, because a surface roughness assists in gripping the article on the surface and thus less vacuum pressure would be needed to hold the article in place. Furthermore, Booth teaches that it is within the purview of the artisan to use a plasma coating to achieve a surface roughness.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 3 and 17-20 are allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Regarding claim
- 3, the reasons were set forth in the previous office action. Regarding claims 17-21, prior

Application/Control Number: 10/038,766

Art Unit: 1734

art fails to teach or suggest the device as set forth in these claims. Oshefsky (US Patent No. 4,578,133) discloses pivoting transfer members, but does not disclose those members having a recessed portion with bottom surface, clearly differentiated from the apertures. Furthermore, there is no reason or suggestion for including such a feature in Oshefsky. Blomqvist, which shows a recessed portion with a bottom surface does not pivot about two axes as required by the claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4, 6-9, 11-16, and 21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no

Art Unit: 1734

event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Purvis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1236. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher A. Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sue A. Purvis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734

SP October 1, 2004